

Tachyon Condensation and Graviton Production in Matrix Theory

Hidetoshi Awata,¹ Shinji Hirano² and Yoshifumi Hyakutake³

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics

Kyoto University

Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

ABSTRACT

We study a membrane – anti-membrane system in Matrix theory. It in fact exhibits the tachyon instability. By suitably representing this configuration, we obtain a (2+1)-dimensional $U(2)$ gauge theory with a 't Hooft's twisted boundary condition. We identify the tachyon field with a certain off-diagonal element of the gauge fields in this model. Taking into account the boundary conditions carefully, we can find vortex solutions which saturate the Bogomol'nyi-type bound and manifest the tachyon condensation. We show that they can be interpreted as gravitons in Matrix theory.

¹E-mail : awata@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

²E-mail : hirano@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

³E-mail : hyaku@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

It is well-known that a tachyon develops when a D-brane and an anti-D-brane come up to some critical interval of order of string scale [1, 2, 3]. Hence the system of a brane and an anti-brane is unstable, and the tachyon fields roll down to a stable point [5]. Recently Sen argued that the D-brane – anti-D-brane pair is annihilated via the tachyon condensation and in this process certain stable D-branes are produced as soliton solutions on this system [4, 6, 7, 8]. These stable D-branes are basically classified into two classes. One is stable non-BPS D-branes with codimension one [4, 6, 7, 8, 9]. They are stabilized by certain orbifold or orientifold projections, and can be identified with kink solutions. The other is BPS D-branes with codimension two, and they can be interpreted as vortex solutions, or kink solutions on unstable D-branes with codimension one, on the brane – anti-brane pair [6, 8]. Both classes of stable D-branes has been understood in terms of the K-theory in more general way [11], and the author in [12] suggested possible applications of this line of arguments to Matrix theory.

In this paper we study the latter mechanism in Matrix theory [13]. We consider a specific example, a system of membrane – anti-membrane pair,⁴ in which gravitons are supposed to be produced as vortex solutions on the membrane worldvolume via the tachyon condensation:

$$M2 + \overline{M2} \xrightarrow{\langle T \rangle \neq 0} \text{graviton (vortex)}, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle T \rangle$ denotes the vacuum expectation value of the tachyon fields.

This corresponds to the mechanism in type IIA theory [6],

$$D2 + \overline{D2} \xrightarrow{\langle T \rangle \neq 0} D0 \text{ (vortex) or } \overline{D0} \text{ (anti-vortex)}. \quad (2)$$

In Matrix theory we should not expect the appearance of anti-gravitons (corresponding to $\overline{D0}$ in type IIA theory), for the matrix description of M-theory is thought of as an infinite momentum limit or as a light-like limit of M-theory. In fact we will see below that we cannot have an anti-vortex solution in the matrix calculation.

2 The setup

In string theory the tachyon potential can in principle be computed, but it is hardly possible to carry it out in all order. In Matrix theory, however, we in fact have a simple tachyon potential of quartic order, due to having taken a special limit of M-theory [14].

⁴Hereafter we will denote membranes and anti-membranes as $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ respectively. We will also use the abbreviation Dp and $\overline{Dp}$ respectively for Dp -branes and anti- Dp -branes.

Now let us see how tachyon modes appear in the background of the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ pair in Matrix theory, which was studied in detail in [15, 16]. The matrix theory action is

$$S = \frac{1}{R^3 M_p^6} \int dt \text{Tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (D_t X^i)^2 + \frac{1}{4} [X^i, X^j]^2 + \Psi^T D_t \Psi + i \Psi^T \gamma^i [X_i, \Psi] \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where X^i ($i = 1, \dots, 9$) and Ψ are $N \times N$ hermitian matrices. R is the radius of a light-like circle and M_p is the eleven dimensional Planck mass. We use a convention $\partial_t - i[A_0, \cdot]$ for the covariant derivative D_t .

We can express the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ pair spreading over the (8,9)-directions of interval b in the 7-direction by the background matrices

$$B^8 = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^9 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -Q_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where P_i and Q_i satisfy the commutation relation $[P_i, Q_i] = -ic$ with a constant c which is a charge density of the membrane [17]. The upper diagonal block corresponds to $M2$ and the lower to $\overline{M2}$. The background matrices for the other directions, the gauge potential A_0 and the fermions are vanishing. For later use we shall represent P_i and Q_i by

$$P_i = c(-i\partial_{x_i} + A_{x_i}), \quad Q_i = c(-i\partial_{y_i} + A_{y_i}), \quad (5)$$

with the field strength $F_{x_i y_i} = \partial_{x_i} A_{y_i} - \partial_{y_i} A_{x_i} = \frac{1}{c}$ [19]. In this representation the trace in the action is replaced with integrals over x_i and y_i . For our purpose, we are only interested in the type of a trace

$$\text{Tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} D_{x_1} & X \\ X^\dagger & D_{x_2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} D_{y_1} & Y \\ Y^\dagger & D_{y_2} \end{pmatrix} \right]^2, \quad (6)$$

where D_{x_i} and D_{y_i} denote, in the representation given in eq. (5), covariant derivatives in which the gauge fields depend only on x_1 and y_1 for $i = 1$, and x_2 and y_2 for $i = 2$. We shall assume that diagonal elements, even the ones obtained as the products of matrices, are local with respect to the coordinates x_1 and y_1 for the upper block and x_2 and y_2 for the lower block, although they are in general bi-local. We will factorize a trace as $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}' \otimes \text{tr}_{2 \times 2}$, and concentrate on a piece Tr' . There are three types of traces we have to consider.

(i) A trace containing only diagonal elements, i.e., covariant derivatives:

$$\text{Tr}'[D_{x_i}, D_{y_i}]^2 = \sigma_0 \int dx_i dy_i [D_{x_i}, D_{y_i}]^2, \quad (7)$$

where $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi c}$ is a normalization factor and denotes the density of $D0$ -branes on the (anti-) $D2$ -brane worldvolume in the type IIA picture [19].

(ii) Two diagonal and two off-diagonal elements. An example is

$$\text{Tr}' D_{x_1} Y D_{y_2} X^\dagger = \sigma_0^2 \int dx_1 dy_1 dx_2 dy_2 D_{x_1} Y(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) D_{y_2} X^*(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2), \quad (8)$$

where $*$ denotes the complex conjugation, and the same rule is applied to the other cases of this type.

(iii) Four off-diagonal elements. An example is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}' X Y^\dagger X Y^\dagger &= \sigma_0^3 \int dx_1 dy_1 dx_2 dy_2 dx'_2 dy'_2 X(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) Y^*(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) \\ &\quad \times X(x_1, y_1; x'_2, y'_2) Y^*(x_1, y_1; x'_2, y'_2), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and similar results hold for the other cases.

In order to see the appearance of tachyon modes in this system, it is instructive to compute the potential between $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ in the one-loop approximation. It can be done most easily in the background gauge with the gauge fixing term

$$S_{GF} = -\frac{1}{R^3 M_p^6} \int dt \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\partial_t A_0 + i[B_i, Y^i] \right)^2. \quad (10)$$

Here the matrices Y^i denotes the fluctuations around the background, that is, $X^i = B^i + Y^i$. Since we know that the tachyon modes would come from the open strings stretched between $D2$ and $\overline{D2}$ in the corresponding type IIA picture, it suffices to consider the off-diagonal elements of the fluctuation matrices (and ghosts as well):

$$Y^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Phi^i \\ (\Phi^i)^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi \\ (\phi)^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \\ (\psi)^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Then the mass matrices for the off-diagonal fluctuations are given by

$$M_{\Phi^i}^2 = -M_\phi^2 = M_{\text{ghosts}}^2 = b^2 + H, \quad (i = 1, \dots, 7), \quad (12)$$

$$M_{(\Phi^8, \Phi^9)}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} b^2 + H & 4ic \\ -4ic & b^2 + H \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

$$M_\psi = -\gamma^7 b + \gamma^8 (P_1 - P_2^*) + \gamma^9 (Q_1 + Q_2^*), \quad (14)$$

where $H = (P_1 - P_2^*)^2 + (Q_1 + Q_2^*)^2$, and it describes the Hamiltonian of a charged particle moving on a two-dimensional plane in the presence of a uniform magnetic field perpendicular to the plane. Thus the energy level of the Hamiltonian H is identical to the Landau level, $4c(n + \frac{1}{2})$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$).

Now we can find that the tachyon develops when $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ approach to some critical interval, by diagonalizing the mass matrices for $(8, 9)$ -directions:

$$U^\dagger M_{(\Phi^8, \Phi^9)}^2 U = \begin{pmatrix} b^2 + 2c(2n + 3) & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 + 2c(2n - 1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The upper eigenvalue is the mass square of the matrix $\bar{\Phi} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Phi^9 + i\Phi^8)$, and the lower is that of $\Phi \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Phi^9 - i\Phi^8)$. The mass square of Φ becomes negative for the ground state when the interval b is shorter than $\sqrt{2c}$, and thus the ground state of Φ corresponds to a complex tachyon mode in the $D2\text{-}\overline{D2}$ pair for $b < \sqrt{2c}$ [15, 16].

We can easily carry out the one-loop calculation, and the long range potential between $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ are reliably calculated in this approximation. The result is

$$V(b) \sim -N \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} s^{-1/2} e^{-b^2 s} \frac{\sinh^4 cs}{2 \sinh 2cs} \xrightarrow{b \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{4} \Gamma(5/2) \frac{Nc^3}{b^5}, \quad (16)$$

and the agreement with the supergravity result was found at least up to a numerical factor [15, 16]. Speaking repeatedly, the potential (16) diverges for $b < \sqrt{2c}$, and it exhibits the tachyon instability of this system. A lesson from the one-loop computation is that the tachyon mode appears in the fluctuation Φ when the distance between $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ becomes shorter than the critical value $\sqrt{2c}$.

3 The effective theory of the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ system

We are now interested in the region $b \leq \sqrt{2c}$. At the critical distance $b = \sqrt{2c}$, a massless mode comes out and it might be related to the horizon of a black hole [18]. We will mention this point later. For the region $b < \sqrt{2c}$, a complex tachyon develops and thus it would annihilate the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ pair by its condensation. Moreover, as mentioned before, gravitons are supposed to be produced in this process as vortices on the membrane worldvolume. Let us discuss this mechanism in the case of the coincident $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ pair, that is, $b = 0$ case. For this purpose, it is enough to consider only the matrices X^8 and X^9 , and to set the others to zero. The action in our concern is

$$S_{(8,9)} = \frac{1}{R^3 M_p^6} \int dt \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[X^8, X^9]^2. \quad (17)$$

The matrices X^8 and X^9 take the form

$$X^8 = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 + ca_{x_1} & \Phi^8 \\ (\Phi^8)^\dagger & P_2 + ca_{x_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^9 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 + ca_{y_1} & \Phi^9 \\ (\Phi^9)^\dagger & -Q_2 - ca_{y_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

where a_{x_i} and a_{y_i} denote the diagonal fluctuations. It is straightforward to show that the action (17) can be written, after setting $\bar{\Phi} = 0$ (defined below the eq. (15)), as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(8,9)} = & -\frac{1}{R^3 M_p^6} \int dt \left[\sigma_0^2 c^2 \int dx_1 dy_1 dx_2 dy_2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |D_z \Phi|^2 - F_{xy} |\Phi|^2 \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + \sigma_0 c^4 \int dx_1 dy_1 \frac{1}{8} (F_{xy} + \tilde{F}_{xy})^2 + \sigma_0 c^4 \int dx_2 dy_2 \frac{1}{8} (F_{xy} - \tilde{F}_{xy})^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\sigma_0^3}{2} \int dx_1 dy_1 dx_2 dy_2 dx'_2 dy'_2 \Phi(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) \Phi^*(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) \\
& \quad \times \Phi(x_1, y_1; x'_2, y'_2) \Phi^*(x_1, y_1; x'_2, y'_2) \\
& + \frac{\sigma_0^3}{2} \int dx_2 dy_2 dx_1 dy_1 dx'_1 dy'_1 \Phi^*(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) \Phi(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) \\
& \quad \times \Phi^*(x'_1, y'_1; x_2, y_2) \Phi(x'_1, y'_1; x_2, y_2) \Big]. \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

The covariant derivative D_z is defined by

$$D_z = D_y + iD_x, \quad (20)$$

$$D_x = \partial_x + iA_x + ia_x = (\partial_{x_1} + \partial_{x_2}) + i(A_{x_1} - A_{x_2}) + i(a_{x_1} - a_{x_2}), \quad (21)$$

$$D_y = \partial_y + iA_y + ia_y = (\partial_{y_1} - \partial_{y_2}) + i(A_{y_1} + A_{y_2}) + i(a_{y_1} + a_{y_2}), \quad (22)$$

and the field strengthes F_{xy} and $\tilde{F}_{xy}$ by

$$F_{xy} = -i[D_x, D_y], \quad \tilde{F}_{xy} = -i[\tilde{D}_x, \tilde{D}_y], \quad (23)$$

$$\tilde{D}_x = \partial_x + i\tilde{A}_x + i\tilde{a}_x = \partial_x + i(A_{x_1} + A_{x_2}) + i(a_{x_1} + a_{x_2}), \quad (24)$$

$$\tilde{D}_y = \partial_y + i\tilde{A}_y + i\tilde{a}_y = \partial_y + i(A_{y_1} - A_{y_2}) + i(a_{y_1} - a_{y_2}). \quad (25)$$

We shall restrict the configuration of a scalar field Φ into the form, $\Phi(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) = \Phi(x, y) \sqrt{\delta(\sqrt{\sigma_0}\tilde{x})\delta(\sqrt{\sigma_0}\tilde{y})}$, where $\tilde{x} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2)$ and $\tilde{y} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2)$ are the center of mass coordinates of the $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ system. Then the action (19) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{(8,9)} &= -\frac{4\sigma_0}{R^3 M_p^6} \int dt \int dxdy \left[\frac{c^2}{2} |D_z \Phi|^2 - c^2 F_{xy} |\Phi|^2 + |\Phi|^4 + \frac{c^4}{4} F_{xy}^2 + \frac{c^4}{4} \tilde{F}_{xy}^2 \right] \quad (26) \\
&= -\frac{4\sigma_0}{R^3 M_p^6} \int dt \int dxdy \left[\frac{c^2}{2} |D_z \Phi|^2 + \left\{ (|\Phi|^2 - c)^2 - c^2 \right\} + c^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - c^2 f_{xy} |\Phi|^2 + \frac{c^4}{4} f_{xy}^2 + \frac{c^4}{4} \tilde{f}_{xy}^2 \right], \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_{xy} = \partial_x a_y - \partial_y a_x (= F_{xy} - \frac{2}{c})$ and $\tilde{f}_{xy} = \partial_x \tilde{a}_y - \partial_y \tilde{a}_x (= \tilde{F}_{xy})$, and they are field strengthes for diagonal fluctuations. When the tachyon field Φ is at the lowest Landau level and the field strengthes f_{xy} and $\tilde{f}_{xy}$ for diagonal fluctuations are vanishing, the action (27) reduces to the sum of the tachyon potential $\left\{ (|\Phi|^2 - c)^2 - c^2 \right\}$ and the total amount c^2 of tensions of $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$. We can further rewrite the action (26) as

$$S_{(8,9)} = -\frac{4\sigma_0}{R^3 M_p^6} \int dt \int dxdy \left[\frac{c^2}{2} |D_z \Phi|^2 + \left(\frac{c^2}{2} F_{xy} - |\Phi|^2 \right)^2 + \frac{c^4}{4} \tilde{F}_{xy}^2 \right], \quad (28)$$

and this provides us with a Bogomol'nyi-type equation [22],

$$D_z \Phi = 0, \quad \frac{c^2}{2} F_{xy} - |\Phi|^2 = 0, \quad \tilde{F}_{xy} = 0. \quad (29)$$

The effective theory (28) of the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ system is a $U(2)$ gauge theory with the background of a constant magnetic field, discussed by [23, 5].

At this point we would like to note that our membrane has a topology of two-torus [13]. The periodicity of the (anti-)membrane is given by $0 \leq P_i < \sqrt{\pi c N}$ and $0 \leq Q_i < \sqrt{\pi c N}$ ($i = 1, 2$) [15]. In terms of our specific representation (5) of P_i and Q_i , the periodicity can be read off from the formulae $\text{Tr}_{N/2} I_{N/2} = \sigma_0 \int dx_1 dy_1 I_{N/2}$ and $\text{Tr}_{N/2} I_{N/2} = \sigma_0 \int dx_2 dy_2 I_{N/2}$, and the area A_{T^2} of torus, spanned by these coordinates, is equal to $\pi c N$, i.e., the same as that of (P_i, Q_i) -planes. Let the periodicities of x and y be $0 \leq x < R_x$ and $0 \leq y < R_y$ respectively. Then R_x and R_y satisfy $R_x R_y = A_{T^2} = \pi c N$. We would like to emphasize that the effective theory obtained in (28) is not the Matrix theory compactified on T^2 . Our interpretation of the effective theory is similar to the one discussed in [19], in which it is justified that the worldvolume theory obtained via the representation (5) can be thought of as that on the membrane itself, not on the T-dualized torus.

We would also like to remark that there is obviously a decoupled $U(1)$ gauge field in the effective theory. As pointed out in [10, 11], even the decoupled $U(1)$ here should be eliminated in the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ annihilation. The author of [20] proposed a resolution of this puzzle. It is not the same mechanism, but similarly we would like to point out that the decoupled $U(1)$ might be confined, that is, there is a mass gap and thus no massless vector in this $U(1)$ theory, if we can justify that this $U(1)$ is compact and the Polyakov's argument [21] goes through as well in the case of a two-torus.

The background magnetic field gives a 't Hooft's twisted boundary condition [23, 5]. We shall take the following form of the background fields:

$$\mathcal{A}_x = \begin{pmatrix} A_x & 0 \\ 0 & -A_x \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{c} y \sigma_3, \quad \mathcal{A}_y = \begin{pmatrix} A_y & 0 \\ 0 & -A_y \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Then the boundary conditions for these fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\mu(R_x, y) &= \frac{1}{i} \Omega_x \partial_\mu \Omega_x^{-1} + \Omega_x \mathcal{A}_\mu(0, y) \Omega_x^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{A}_\mu(x, R_y) &= \frac{1}{i} \Omega_y \partial_\mu \Omega_y^{-1} + \Omega_y \mathcal{A}_\mu(x, 0) \Omega_y^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where transition functions Ω_x and Ω_y are

$$\Omega_x(x, y) = I_2, \quad \Omega_y(x, y) = e^{i R_y x \sigma_3 / c}, \quad (32)$$

and they satisfy a consistency condition given by 't Hooft [24]

$$\Omega_x(y=0) \Omega_y(x=R_x) = \Omega_y(x=0) \Omega_x(y=R_y) (-1)^N. \quad (33)$$

Corresponding to these boundary conditions, we must have the boundary conditions for the other fields via

$$X^{i=8,9}(R_x, y) = \Omega_x X^{i=8,9}(0, y) \Omega_x^{-1}, \quad X^{i=8,9}(x, R_y) = \Omega_y X^{i=8,9}(x, 0) \Omega_y^{-1}, \quad (34)$$

and we can find that these conditions amount to

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(R_x, y) = \Phi(0, y) \\ \bar{\Phi}(R_x, y) = \bar{\Phi}(0, y) \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \Phi(x, R_y) = e^{2iR_y x/c} \Phi(x, 0) \\ \bar{\Phi}(x, R_y) = e^{2iR_y x/c} \bar{\Phi}(x, 0) \end{cases}. \quad (35)$$

4 Tachyon condensation and graviton production

Now we shall show that there exist vortex-like solutions of the Bogomol'nyi-type equation (29). Let us express the 'Higgs' field Φ by two real valued functions u and Θ as $\Phi = \exp[\frac{1}{2}(u + i\Theta)]$. From the first equation in (29) the $U(1)$ gauge field $A_z + a_z = \frac{1}{2}[(A_x + a_x) - i(A_y + a_y)]$ is described by $A_z + a_z = \frac{i}{2}\partial_z(u + i\Theta)$, where $\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)$. Set the angular part Θ of the 'Higgs' field as $\Theta = i \sum_{k=1}^n [\log \vartheta_1(\frac{z-z_k}{2\pi}|\tau) - \log \overline{\vartheta_1(\frac{z-z_k}{2\pi}|\tau)}]$, where the overline denotes the complex conjugation and Θ is a multivalued function.⁵ Then the second equation in (29) reduces to

$$c^2 \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} u = -e^u + 2\pi c^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \delta^2(z - z_k). \quad (36)$$

This is a Liouville-type equation discussed by Jackiw and Pi [25], and general multi-vortex solutions are constructed at least on $\mathbf{R}^2$ [25] and an explicit one-vortex solution on T^2 is given in [26]. We, however, do not follow their constructions and adopt another strategy in order to handle the boundary conditions of vortex solutions manifestly.

Now we divide the radial part u of the 'Higgs' field Φ into a singular part u_0 and an analytic part v . The singular function u_0 takes the form

$$u_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \log \left| \vartheta_1 \left(\frac{z - z_k}{2\pi} \middle| \tau \right) \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2\pi\tau_2} [\text{Im}(z - z_k)]^2 \right\}, \quad (37)$$

where $\tau_2 = \text{Im}\tau$ and this is exactly doubly periodic [27]. The analytic function v obeys the equation

$$c^2 \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} v = c^2 \frac{n}{4\pi\tau_2} - \prod_{k=1}^n \left| \vartheta_1 \left(\frac{z - z_k}{2\pi} \middle| \tau \right) \right|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi\tau_2} [\text{Im}(z - z_k)]^2} e^v. \quad (38)$$

⁵On $\mathbf{R}^2$, Θ may be chosen as $-2 \sum_{k=1}^n \arg(z - z_k)$.

By the theorem 7.2 of Kazdan and Warner [28], one can show the existence of a solution for this equation, at least if $\frac{n}{4\pi\tau_2}$ is sufficiently small.⁶

Let us consider the boundary conditions for ‘Higgs’ field Φ . They can be easily read off from the angular part Θ of the ‘Higgs’ field:

$$\Phi(z + 2\pi\tau) = e^{-i\pi n + i\pi n \text{Re}\tau + i \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Re}(z - z_k)} \Phi(z), \quad (39)$$

$$\Phi(z + 2\pi) = e^{-i\pi n} \Phi(z). \quad (40)$$

Comparing these with (35) and noting that $R_x = 2\pi$ and $R_y = 2\pi\tau_2 = cN/2$ in this convention and that we can obviously replace $x = \text{Re}z$ in (32) and (35) with $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (x - x_k)$, one can find that those conditions coincide exactly by setting $n = N$ ($=$ even).

At this point one may wonder if a similar analysis goes through even for the case that $\bar{\Phi} \neq 0$ and $\Phi = 0$, that is, regardless of the existence of the tachyon.⁷ It is almost true. The Bogomol’nyi-type equation (29) becomes $D_{\bar{z}}\bar{\Phi} = 0$ where $D_{\bar{z}} = -iD_x + D_y$, and $\frac{c^2}{2}F_{xy} + |\bar{\Phi}|^2 = 0$, and thus we can solve it similarly. There is, however, a significant difference. In this case the angular part Θ flips its sign and we obtain the boundary conditions for $\bar{\Phi}$ with wrong sign. Thus we cannot construct vortex solutions for $\bar{\Phi}$ with the correct boundary conditions.

Now let us discuss the tachyon condensation in this system. The effective theory is a pure $U(2)$ gauge theory and thus it seems that the tachyon cannot condense. We can, however, show that it eventually does. Integrating (38) over T^2 , we can rewrite it as

$$c^2 \frac{N}{4\pi\tau_2} A_{T^2} = \int_{T^2} dz d\bar{z} |\Phi|^2. \quad (41)$$

From the above argument, we have $N/4\pi\tau_2 = 1/c$. Therefore we can conclude that the average value of $|\Phi|$ is equal to $\sqrt{c}$. It is anticipated by the form of the tachyon potential $\{(|\Phi|^2 - c)^2 - c^2\}$ which we observed before, and this shows the tachyon condensation in the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ system.

Now the magnetic field F_{xy} on the worldvolume of the coincident $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ pair is given by $F_{xy} = \frac{N}{2\pi\tau_2} - 2\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}v$, and thus the magnetic flux is

$$\int_{T^2} dz d\bar{z} F_{xy} = 2\pi N (= 2\pi \cdot 2\sigma_0 \cdot A_{T^2}). \quad (42)$$

⁶Their theorem is the following. Consider a differential equation $\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}v = C - He^v$, on Riemann surfaces, where C is a positive constant and H is an analytic function. Then there exists an analytic solution v , if and only if H is positive somewhere and $C < C_+(H)$, an upper bound depending on H . But in our case we can expect that $n/4\pi\tau_2$ does not need to be small, since generic solutions of the Liouville equation (36) is known and it ensures very likely the existence of a solution of eq. (38).

⁷Throughout this paper we are assuming $c > 0$.

This corresponds to the N -vortex solution on T^2 , in which each vortex is sitting at one of the zeros z_k of the ‘Higgs’ field. As is well-known, a magnetic flux on the membrane worldvolume couples to a RR one-form via a Chern-Simons coupling and thus gives a $D0$ -brane charge in the corresponding type IIA picture. The number of $D0$ -branes corresponding to the above flux is equal to N , since the value $2\pi N$ of the flux is just twice the one ($\int dx_1 dy_1 \frac{1}{c} = \int dx_2 dy_2 \frac{1}{c} = \pi N$) on each (anti-)membrane which is constituted from $N/2$ $D0$ -branes. Therefore the process we obtained will be

$$M2 (+ N/2 \text{ M-mom}) + \overline{M2} (+ N/2 \text{ M-mom}) \xrightarrow{\langle \Phi \rangle \neq 0} \text{gravitons} (+ N \text{ M-mom}), \quad (43)$$

where “M-mom” indicates the M-momentum, and this process in fact conserves the M-momentum. We will justify below that vortices can be interpreted as gravitons, as denoted in the r.h.s. of the above equation.

Now let us show that vortices can be thought of as gravitons in Matrix theory. The bound for the energy is exactly zero [29]. This indicates that vortices in this system are massless particle, and thus they can be regarded as gravitons in M-theory. It, however, seems somewhat strange that a massless particle does not carry any energy. But remember that the matrix theory Hamiltonian is of the form $H = (\mathbf{P}_\perp^2 + M^2)/2P_-$. Hence in matrix theory the Hamiltonian of a massless particle without transverse momentum is exactly zero, which accords with the above result. We would like to note that anti-gravitons cannot appear in this system, since as we have shown the magnetic flux is positive. Again it is in accordance with the interpretation of Matrix theory [13]. A graviton of this type carries the M-momentum in the form of a magnetic flux $\int dx dy F_{xy}$, as mentioned above, which may be reminiscent of the membrane scattering with M-momentum transfer [30][31]. A vortex solution is, however, a static solution, as is different from an instanton solution which can provide scattering processes with M-momentum transfer. Hence a vortex solution cannot describe a transfer of M-momentum.

We would also like to remark that, at the minimum of the tachyon potential, the sum of the tension of $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ is exactly cancelled by the energy density of the tachyon field, as discussed in [5, 6]. We can observe it from the action (27): (here in unit $\sigma_0/R^3 M_p^6 = 1$)

$$E_{M2} + E_{\overline{M2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[B^8, B^9]^2 = \left(\int dx_1 dy_1 + \int dx_2 dy_2 \right) \frac{1}{2} c^2 = 4 \int dx dy c^2, \quad (44)$$

$$E_{\text{tachyon}} = 4 \int dx dy \left\{ (|\Phi|^2 - c)^2 - c^2 \right\} \xrightarrow{|\Phi|=\sqrt{c}} -4 \int dx dy c^2. \quad (45)$$

This indicates the restoration of supersymmetry [5]. Now let us discuss the supersymmetry of this system. The initial state, the $M2$ - $\overline{M2}$ pair, breaks all of the supersymmetry. As we showed above, it is annihilated via the tachyon condensation and gravitons are produced

as vortices on the membrane worldvolume. The final state, gravitons, is a massless state, and thus it must preserve a half of the supersymmetry. Let us look at the fermion transformation law under the supersymmetry transformations:

$$\delta\Psi = \left(D_t X^i \gamma_i - \frac{i}{2} [X^i, X^j] \gamma_{ij} \right) \epsilon + \epsilon'. \quad (46)$$

For a vortex solution we can find that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Psi &= -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i \left[\frac{c^2}{2} (F_{xy} + \tilde{F}_{xy}) - |\Phi|^2 \right] & -\frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} D_z \Phi \\ \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} (D_z \Phi)^* & i \left[\frac{c^2}{2} (F_{xy} - \tilde{F}_{xy}) - |\Phi|^2 \right] \end{pmatrix} \gamma_{89} \epsilon + \epsilon' \\ &= \epsilon'. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

This shows that a vortex solution indeed preserves a half of the supersymmetry, as we expected. Note also that gravitons in Matrix theory are described by a background of commuting matrices. The above result (47) is nothing but the statement that X^8 and X^9 are commuting for a vortex solution. Again this agrees with the interpretation of a vortex as a graviton in Matrix theory.

5 Discussions

Now we would like to discuss future directions of this work. The authors in [18] pointed out the similarity of the tachyon instability to the absorption of matters by a non-extremal black hole. They argued that this similarity leads to the identification of the horizon of a non-extremal black hole with a critical point (corresponding to a point $b = \sqrt{2c}$ in the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ system) where a massless mode comes out. As the horizon has a light-cone structure, the appearance of a massless mode matches this feature. Along the line of their arguments, it would be interesting to pursue the following possibility in the $M2\text{-}\overline{M2}$ system. We will indicate the corresponding picture in terms of a black hole in parenthesis:

Set $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ infinitely separated, that is, $b(t = -\infty) = \infty$. The attractive force between $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ makes them approach up to a critical point (the horizon), $b(t) = \sqrt{2c}$, where a massless mode appears, and subsequently they across this point (the horizon) to exhibit the tachyon instability. Then there appear vortices (gravitons radiated by a black hole) which are time-dependent through $b(t)$ and thus carry transverse momenta in the region, $0 < b(t) < \sqrt{2c}$. We expect that $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ gradually collapses to a point, $b(t = \infty) = 0$, and there remain only vortices (gravitons) as discussed above. In this senario the initial energy of $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ seems to disappear, for a vortex at the final state does not carry any light-cone energy as mentioned before. But Matrix theory is unitary and thus the total energy of $M2$ and $\overline{M2}$ must be radiated (the Hawking radiation) by

a certain mechanism in which vortices (gravitons), in the region $0 < b(t) < \sqrt{2c}$, carry away the initial energy.

Another direction is to study stable non-BPS states [4, 6, 7, 8, 9] in Matrix theory. To do this, we need certain orbifold or orientifold projections to make a complex tachyon real so that there can exist a kink solution on the brane – anti-brane pair. As an example it is straightforward to apply our analysis to a Matrix theory on an orientifold background [32]. We will report some progress in this direction elsewhere [33]. It also seems possible to discuss stable non-BPS states in type I theory [6, 7] by making use of the $USp(2k)$ matrix model [34].

Finally we would like to mention that our analysis is applicable to more general systems, such as general brane – anti-brane pairs in the IIB matrix model [35] as well as those in Matrix theory.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to M. Fukuma, Y. Imamura, H. Kunitomo, Y. Matsuo and K. Murakami for useful discussions. H. A. would like to thank H. Itoyama and A. Tsuchiya for interesting conversations. S. H. would like to thank A. Kato for helpful discussions, and Y. Kazama and T. Kuroki for useful conversations. The work of S. H. was supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] M. B. Green, “Point-Like States for Type IIB Superstrings,” *Phys. Lett.* **B329** (1994) 435, hep-th/9403040;
M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, “Light-Cone Supersymmetry and D-Branes,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B476** (1996) 484.
- [2] T. Banks and L. Susskind, “Brane-Antibrane Forces,” hep-th/9511194.
- [3] G. Lifschytz, “Comparing D-Branes to Black-Branes,” *Phys. Lett.* **B388** (1996) 720, hep-th/9604156;
V. Periwal, “Antibranes and Crossing Symmetry,” hep-th/9612215.
- [4] A. Sen, “Stable Non-BPS Bound States of BPS D-Branes,” *JHEP* 9808 (1998) 010, hep-th/9805019.
- [5] A. Sen, “Tachyon Condensation on The Brane Antibrane System,” *JHEP* 9808 (1998) 012, hep-th/9805170.

- [6] A. Sen, “SO(32) Spinors of Type I and Other Solitons on Brane-Antibrane Pair,” JHEP 9809 (1998) 023, hep-th/9808141.
- [7] A. Sen, “Type I D-Particle and Its Interaction,” JHEP 9810 (1998) 021, hep-th/9809111.
- [8] A. Sen, “BPS D-Branes on Non-Supersymmetric Cycles,” hep-th/9812031.
- [9] O. Bergman and M. R. Gaberdiel, “Stable Non-BPS D-Particles,” Phys. Lett. **B441** (1998) 133, hep-th/9806155;
O. Bergman and M. R. Gaberdiel, “Non-BPS States in Heterotic – Type IIA Duality,” hep-th/9901014.
- [10] M. Srednicki, “IIB or Not IIB,” JHEP08 (1998) 005, hep-th/9807138.
- [11] E. Witten, “D-Branes and K-Theory,” hep-th/9810188.
- [12] P. Horava, “Type IIA D-Branes, K-Theory and Matrix Theory,” hep-th/9812135.
- [13] T. Banks, W. Fishler, S. Shenker and L. Susskind, “M-Theory as A Matrix Model: A Conjecture,” Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 5112, hep-th/9610043;
L. Susskind, “Another Conjecture about M(atrix) Theory,” hep-th/9704080.
- [14] N. Seiberg, “Why Is The Matrix Model Correct?,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 3577, hep-th/9710009;
A. Sen, “D0-Branes on T^n and Matrix Theory,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 51, hep-th/9709220.
- [15] O. Aharony and M. Berkooz, “Membrane Dynamics in M(atrix) Theory,” Nucl. Phys. **B491** (1997) 184, hep-th/9611215.
- [16] G. Lifschytz and S. D. Mathur, “Supersymmetry and Membrane Interactions in M(atrix) Theory,” Nucl. Phys. **B507** (1997) 621, hep-th/9612087.
- [17] T. Banks, N. Seiberg and S. Shenker, “Branes from Matrices,” Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 91, hep-th/9612157.
- [18] D. Kabat and G. Lifschytz, “Tachyons and Black Hole Horizons in Gauge Theory,” JHEP 9812 (1998) 002, hep-th/9806214;
“Gauge Theory Origins of Supergravity Causal Structure,” hep-th/9902073.
- [19] E. Keski-Vakkuri and P. Kraus, “Notes on Branes in Matrix Theory,” Nucl. Phys. **B510** (1998) 199, hep-th/9706196.
- [20] P. Yi, “Membranes from Five-Branes and Fundamental Strings from Dp Branes,” hep-th/9901159.
- [21] A. M. Polyakov, “Quark Confinement And Topology of Gauge Theories,” Nucl. Phys. **B120** (1977) 429.

- [22] E. B. Bogomol'nyi, "The Stability of Classical Solutions," Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **23** (1976) 588.
- [23] E. Gava, K. S. Narain and M. H. Sarmadi, "On The Bound States of p - And $(p+2)$ -Branes," Nucl. Phys. **B504** (1997) 214, hep-th/9704006.
- [24] G. 't Hooft, "Some Twisted Self-Dual Solutions for The Yang-Mills Equations on A Hypertorus," Commun. Math. Phys. **81** (1981) 267.
- [25] R. Jackiw and S-Y. Pi, "Self-Dual Chern-Simons Solitons," Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **107** (1992) 1.
- [26] P. Olesen, "Soliton Condensation in Some Self-Dual Chern-Simons Theories," Phys. Lett. **B265** (1991) 361.
- [27] J. Polchinski, "String Theory Volume I," Cambridge 1998.
- [28] J. L. Kazdan and F. W. Warner, "Curvature Functions for Compact 2-Manifolds," Ann. Math. **99** (1974) 14.
- [29] J. Ambjorn and H. Flyvbjerg, "'T Hooft's Non-Abelian Magnetic Flux Has Zero Classical Energy," Phys. Lett. **97B** (1980) 241.
- [30] J. Polchinski and P. Pouliot, "Membrane Scattering with M-Momentum Transfer," Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 6601, hep-th/9704029.
- [31] S. Hyun, Y. Kiem and H. Shin, "Effective Action for Membrane Dynamics in DLCQ M-Theory on A Two-Torus," Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 021901, hep-th/9808183;
"Non-Perturbative Membrane Spin-Orbit Couplings in M/IIA Theory," hep-th/9901105.
- [32] N. Kim and S-J. Rey, "M(atrix) Theory on T^5/Z_2 Orbifold and Five-Branes," Nucl. Phys. **B534** (1998) 155, hep-th/9705132;
A. Fayyazuddin and D. J. Smith, "A Note on T^5/Z_2 Compactification of The M-Theory Matrix Model," Phys. Lett. **B407** (1997) 8, hep-th/9703208;
U. H. Danielsson, M. Kruczenski and P. Stjernberg, "Graviton Scattering in An M-Orientifold Background," hep-th/9807071.
- [33] H. Awata, S. Hirano and Y. Hyakutake, work in progress.
- [34] H. Itoyama and A. Tokura, " $USp(2k)$ Matrix Model: F Theory Connection," Prog. Theor. Phys. **99** (1998) 129, hep-th/9708123;
" $USp(2k)$ Matrix Model: Nonperturbative Approach to Orientifolds," Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 026002, hep-th/9801084.
- [35] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, "A Large-N Reduced Model as Superstring," Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 467, hep-th/9612115.